Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION	
001. fax	To Suzan Johnson Cook from Margaret A. Siegel re: visitors (partial) (2 pages)	11/08/93	P6/b(6)	

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records Domestic Policy Council Carol Rasco (Meetings, Trips, Events) OA/Box Number: 4593

FOLDER TITLE:

Children & Families Core Group 11-9-93 1:30-4; 11-12-93 2:00-4

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

RESTRICTION CODES

rw156

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]

P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]

P5 Release would disclose confidential advise between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [a)(5) of the PRA]

P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

	Sec. 1.	1. 1. 1. 1. 1		1. 1 to 1	1 3 1
OFFICE	OF	DOMES	TIC	POLI	CY
					,

THE WHITE HOUSE NOV FROM THE OFFICE OF: CAROL H. RASCO ASSISTANT TO THE PRESIDENT FOR DOMESTIC POLICY

то:	and a second second Second second
DRAFT RESPONSE FOR CHE	R BY:
PLEASE REPLY (COPY TO) CHR):
PLEASE ADVISE BY:	
LET'S DISCUSS:	
FOR YOUR INFORMATION:	
REPLY USING FORM CODE:	
FILE:	
RETURN ORIGINAL TO CHE	3
SCHEDULE:	
REMARKS:	

also Jaxed to Suzan "15/93 ram


Mis agenda fine Mis agenda fine ut me be address wt cc's for all first for present.

FCD

FOUNDATION FOR CHILD DEVELOPMENT

345 East 46th Street New York, NY 10017-3562 (212) 697-3150 (212) 697-2258 (FAX)

FAX TRANSMITTAL SHEET



Cacol Rasco TO: Attention: Boz Miller (202) 456- 2878 Barbara B. Blum FROM:

If a problem occurs with this transmission, please call the above number.

TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES (Including Cover Sheet):

Meeting on Service Initiatives for Families and Children

The White House Old Executive Office Building -- Room 472 Washington, D.C. November 9, 1993 1:30 p.m. - 4:00 p.m.

<u>AGENDA</u>

Opening Remarks

Introduction of Foundation Presentations

Experience with State and Local Initiatives

Overview of Shared Interests

Carol Rasco, Assistant to the President for Domestic Policy

Barbara B. Blum, Foundation for Child Development

Jon Blyth, Mott Foundation

Kathleen Feely, Annie E. Casey Foundation

Sally Leiderman, Pew Charitable Trusts

Ted Lobman, Stuart Foundations

Marvin Cohen, Chicago Community Trust

Rush Russell, Robert Wood Johnson Foundation

Anderson Spickard -- "Fighting Back" -- A Robert Wood Johnson Project

Julia Lopez, Rockefeller Foundation

Anne Kubisch, The Ford Foundation

Barbara Dyer, National Academy on Public Administration

Margaret Siegel National Governors' Association

Cross-cutting Issues

Income Security

Community Development

Administrative and Fiscal Implications

NGA Perspective

Discussion of Options for Collaborative Action in Planning, Developing and Testing New Strategies

FCD

Meeting on Service Initiatives for Families and Children

INVITED PARTICIPANTS -- FOUNDATIONS

Barbara B. Blum President Foundation for Child Development 345 East 46th Street, Rm. 700 New York, NY 10017-3562 (212) 697-3150

Jon Blyth Program Officer The Charles Stewart Mott Foundation (1200 Mott Foundation Building Flint, MI 48502-1851 (313) 766-1730

Marvin R. Cohen, Director Children, Youth & Families Initiative Chicago Community Trust 222 North LaSalle, Suite 1400 Chicago, IL 60601-1009 (312) 372-3356

Kathleen Feely Associate Director Annie E. Casey Foundation One Lafayette Place Greenwich, CT 06830 (203) 661-2773

Anne C. Kubisch Deputy Director Urban Poverty Program The Ford Foundation 320 East 43rd Street New York, NY 10017 (212) 573-5263

Sally Leiderman, Project Director for Children's Initiative The Pew Charitable Trusts and Vice President, Center for Assessment & Policy Development 111 Presidential Blvd., Suite 234 Bala Cynwyd, PA 19004 (215) 664-4540 Theodore Lobman President Stuart Foundations 188 The Embarcadero, Suite 420. San Francisco, CA 94150 (415) 495-1144

Julia Lopez Director Equal Opportunity Program The Rockefeller Foundation 1133 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036 (212) 852-8350

Rush L. Russell Program Officer The Robert Wood Johnson Foundation P.O. Box 2316 Princeton, NJ 08543-2316 (609) 243-5938

Kay Sherwood Consultant Foundation for Child Development 345 East 46th Street, Rm. 700 New York, NY 10017-3562 (212) 697-3150

Anderson Spickard National Project Director Fighting Back Vanderbilt Clinic, Room 2553 1301 22nd Avenue South Nashville, TN 37232-5305 (615) 322-2543

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL FORM			
Date	11-05-23	Pages to Follow:	
	To: Reelyn Miller	From: Dow Killet, 4300L	
	Fax #:_ 456-2878	Tel #: 219-6236	
	OP: Dometric Boldon Council	Subject: INTHUN Convolutere Plan Programmer La	
(() () () () () () () () () () () () ()			
	ribution: Normal		
0	Urgent/Hand Carry		
0	Confidential		
Con	nments:		
		Roz	
		No you know if Susan just sent these to WV/IN Or was there a consolidation?	

י. י,י

TO

U.S. Department of Labor

Employment and Training Administration 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20210



November 5, 1993

MEMORANDUM FOR:

CAROL H. RASCO Domestic Policy Council

Roslyn Miller

FROM:

Don Kulick - Don Kulies-Department of Labor

SUBJECT:

Attention:

IN and WV Consolidated State Plan Proposals for Children, Youth, and Families

As requested, the Department of Labor poses the following questions/comments regarding the proposals:

1. Please elaborate--perhaps by using three or four Federal grant programs as an example--as to how, at the operational level, you will coordinate the delivery of services, yet maintain separate financial management systems and separate financial and program data reporting systems for each grant.

2. Please elaborate -- again, by illustration if possible -- as to how you will ensure that the eligibility criteria for each grant will be met.

The Job Training Partnership Act (JTPA) has several distinct titles with distinct (albeit relatively broad) target groups. Do you intend to include all JTPA titles in the consolidated plan and operations?

4. The West Virginia proposal states: "A Family Resource Network also includes individuals who are representative of the organizations providing public and private job training. It is not necessary for each category to be represented on the Family Resource Network." Is it a local call as to whether the local JTPA program (or Private Industry Council) or the State Employment Service local or area office is represented on the Network? For practical purposes, does it matter much if JTPA or ES is an "official" member of the Network?

DAG



U.S. Department of Justice

Office of the Deputy Attorney General

Associate Deputy Attorney Ocneral

Washington, D.C. 20530

November 4, 1993

MEMORANDUM

TO: Suzan Johnson Cook Office of Domestic Policy The White House


FROM: Shay Bilchik Associate Deputy Attorney General

SUBJECT: Next Consolidated State Plan Meeting; Areas of Inquiry

- 1) Can you give examples of specific program development and implementation situations which would be impacted by the Federal coordination and cooperation you contemplate?
- 2) If it were possible, which administrative regulations or statutory provisions would you like modified to assist you in working with your consolidated plan?
- 3) What level of Federal involvement in the development of a Consolidated State Plan do you contemplate?
- 4) Would the reallocation proposals present potential intraprogram conflicts with grant allocation provisions built into the OJJDP statute?
- 5) Have the issues of potential auditing and accounting problems been addressed in relation to aggregating of funds?

6) Is Executive Order 12372 a sufficient authority upon which to base the objectives of the proposed plans? E.O. 12372 revoked OMB Circular 95, which applied to the grant clearinghouse plan.

I look forward to seeing you next Tuesday.



2

THE WHITE HOUSE

National Economic Council

<i>TO</i> :	Roz Miller
PHONE:	
FAX.	×2878
FROM:	SHERYLL CASHIN
PHONE:	202-456-6410
FAX:	202-456-2223
	• *

PAGES INCLUDING COVER SHEET

11/04/93

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

November 4, 1993

MEMORANDUM FOR CAROL RASCO SUZAN JOHNSON COOK

FROM: SHERYLL CASHIN PAUL DIMOND

SUBJECT: QUESTIONS FOR WEST VA. AND INDIANA ON CONSOLIDATED PLANS

1. Please explain, as concretely as possible, how you intend to achieve the cost sharing and joint delivery of services that you describe in your plan. For example, what accounting or other methods will you use to achieve such integration while complying with reporting and program requirements applicable to each agency and funding stream involved? Will each contributing social service agency keep its own records to meet reporting and other requirements? Will the state assume responsibility for such accounting?

2. Can you provide a drawing or diagram which reflects the anticipated mechanisms or structures you describe in answering question 1?

3. Are you certain that your plans present no legal obstacles, even at the implementation stage. Why, precisely? What measures will you take to ensure that the implementation of your plan is consistent with all federal laws and regulations?

4. What potential obstacles could arise at the implementation stage that might, although currently not contemplated, require a waiver?

11-05-93 10:33AM FROM USDA-FCS

TO 94562878

P001/002

.....................

THE FOLLOWING HAS BEEN DATAFAXED FROM THE OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY FOR FOOD AND CONSUMER SERVICES

DATAFAX NUMBER IS (202) 690-3100

IF YOU DO NOT RECEIVE ALL PAGE(S) SENT, PLEASE CALL (202) 720-7711.

DATE: 11-5

TO: ROZ Miller

FROM: Julie Bernell, USDA

NUMBER OF PAGES INCLUDING COVER SHEET: 2_

REMARKS/COMMENTS

a

COMMENTS FROM USDA REGARDING THE WEST VIRGINIA AND INDIANA PLANS FOR THE CONSOLIDATION OF SERVICES TO CHILDREN AND FAMILIES

We support the overall concept of improving the targeting and coordination of services to children and families at the delivery level. We agree that states and local communities can go a long way toward meeting this goal within current federal laws and regulations and USDA looks forward to working with the states to help them accomplish this challenging goal.

QUESTIONS:

o How do the states anticipate dealing with any barriers which may emerge as a result of existing federal rules? For example, one would anticipate that a common application form, unless extremely lengthy or computer-assisted could require some adjustments of current program rules. How can we facilitate consideration of issues which cross program and agency lines?

o is there a plan for phasing in each of the 199 programs?

o Do the states plan on providing more detail on program and service priorities or would the details be left up to the local planning process?

o How will the states ensure the local plans are consistent with federal statutes and regulation?

o How would the states propose to evaluate the success of this effort? Do the states intend to assess changes in client outcomes as well as changes in the service delivery system?

TEL NO:

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT WAGHINGTON, D.C. 20410 7000



OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY FOR COMMUNITY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT

> NOV 5 1993

MEMORANDUM FOR: Maureen A. Kennedy, Deputy Assistant Secretary, Office of Policy Development and Research, TP

FROM:

Smith, Acting Director, Policy Coordination iech F. Unit, COOP

SUBJECT: West Virginia and Indiana - State Consolidated Plauning Proposal

Comments on the West Virginia/Indiana Consolidated Planning Proposal

- 1. Overall it appears to fit in very nicely with the Department's and CPD's goal of streamlining planning, application and reporting requirements.
- 2. As Assistant Secretary Stegman indicates, the role of CPD programs is unclear. The states' laws indicate that this plan would be complimentary to existing federal requirements for State and local governments.
- 3. State and Entitlement CDBG programs, both included in this package have State and local planning requirements. This plan would clearly supplement those documents, but it may be an additional burden on individual recipients.
- 4. Are the States looking for waivers for these planning requirements?
- 5. The CDBG programs fund activities that support more than children and tamilies. It would be difficult to waive the requirements for these programs (State and Entitlement) due to the narrow focus of these State plans.
- Since this is clearly in line with CPD's thinking, we 6. certainly favor the concept envisioned by the States of West Virginia and Indiana.

3

1991 and 1992, it no longer exists. The Housing and Community Development Act of 1992 combined that program with another nationally competitive program, Supportive Housing.

One homeless program not mentioned by the States, which could be a candidate for such an approach, is the Emergency Shelter Grants Program. Citics and States receive ESG funds by formula and have local control over which activities to fund within general eligibility guidelines. Homeless families with children and homeless youth may be assisted through this program.

Questions

It is not clear from my reading of the proposal why the nine particular HUD programs were chosen for inclusion in this effort. Perhaps if more details were provided, we could offer additional suggestions for ways in which these and other HUD programs could be used to support this effort.

The Office of Community Planning and Development, which is responsible for administering six of the nine programs mentioned, is in the process of preparing comments on the proposal. I will forward those comments as soon as I receive them.

.

2

As I am sure Mike pointed out during your meetings, HUD is limited in its ability to waive program requirements. Unlike other departments, we have no authority to waive statutory provisions, such as those relating to planning. Given this reality, I offer the following comments on the proposals:

Rellance on Executive Order 12372

Both proposals rely heavily on Executive Order 12372. As you know, this Executive Order was issued in 1983 as part of the Reagan Administration's New Federalism. The Executive Order and its regulatory implementation by HUD at 24 CFR Part 52 emphasize the role of States in order

"to foster an intergovernmental partnership and a strengthened Federalism by relying on State processes and on State, areawide, regional and local coordination for review of proposed Federal financial assistance and direct Federal development."

Both the West Virginia and Indiana consolidated plans apparently seek to build on the Executive Order by requesting HUD approval of those plans under the Executive Order within existing statutory and regulatory requirements. We strongly support such efforts and, as mentioned earlier, HUD has already begun a similar process by consolidating the planning and application requirements for the CDBG Entitlement and State programs with those of other programs.

Unfortunately, not all of the nine HUD programs identified by these two States require the submission of plans. For example, CDBG Special Purpose Grants and Technical Assistance, the Work-Study Program, and the Public Housing Resident Management program do not require a plan. For this reason, relying on the substantive provisions of the Executive Order may provide little practical benefit.

Nationally Competitive Programs

The proposals offered by Indiana and West Virginia are short on details as to how this approach would be implemented. Particularly, with regard to how the HUD programs identified by the States which are competitive and not within local control would be made a part of this collaborative effort. For example, Drug Elimination is a fairly targeted program which is competitive. Individual PHAs who are the applicants for this program, could submit proposals consistent with the strategies suggested by Indiana and West Virginia, but each PHA must compete with all other PHAs nationally. Unfortunately, because these programs are statutorily competitive, we cannot grant a waiver to channel funds to those organizations outside of that process.

Although SAFAH, the other competitive program mentioned, was targeted to homeless families with children ready to move from transitional to permanent housing in

November 5, 1993

MEMORANDUM FOR: Carol Rasco, Assistant to the President

FROM: Maureen A. Kennedy, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy Development

SUBJECT: Request for Comments on Proposals from the States of West Virginia and Indiana

This is in response to your request to Michael Stegman that we review West Virginia and Indiana's proposals to prepare consolidated State plans. The proposed plans would help these two States better coordinate the 199 separate Federal programs serving children, youth, and families. West Virginia and Indiana have identified nine HUD programs to be included in this effort:

- 1. Housing Counseling Assistance Programs;
- 2. Community Development Block Grant (CDBG)\Emillement Cities;
- 3. CDBG\Small Cities Program;
- 4. CDBG\State Program;
- 5. CDBG\Special Purpose Grants\Technical Assistance;
- 6. Community Development Work-Study Program;
- 7. Supplemental Assistance for Facilities to Assist the Homeless (SAFAH) Program;
- 8. Public Housing Resident Management Program; and
- 9. Public and Indian Housing Drug Elimination Program.

The idea of State-wide coordinated efforts across all programs serving children, youth, and families so that they do not face a fragmented system of service delivery is a good one. In fact, this effort is consistent with the Department's efforts to streamline planning, application, and reporting requirements.

HUD is currently working in partnership with States and localities to reduce unnecessary paperwork, simplify Federal requirements, and reduce administrative burdens by consolidated and simplifying planning, application, and reporting requirements for HOME, CDBG, Emergency Shelter Grants (ESG) and Housing for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA). This will be achieved through coordinating program year schedules and by combining the various application, planning, and reporting requirements for each of the programs.



U. S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Weshington, D.C. 20410-6000

November 5, 1993

OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY FOR POLICY DEVELOPMENT AND RESEARCH

MEMORANDUM FOR: Carol Rasco, Assistant to the President

FROM: Maureen A. Kennedy, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy Development

SUBJECT: Request for Comments on Proposals from the States of West Virginia and Indiana

This is in response to your request to Michael Stegman that we review West Virginia and Indiana's proposals to prepare consolidated State plans. The proposed plans would help these two States better coordinate the 199 separate Federal programs serving children, youth, and families. West Virginia and Indiana have identified nine HUD programs to be included in this effort:

- 1. Housing Counseling Assistance Programs;
- Community Development Block Grant (CDBG)\Entitlement Cities;
- 3. CDBG\Small Cities Program:
- CDBG\State Program;
- 5. CDBG\Special Purpose Grants\Technical Assistance;
- 6. Community Development Work-Study Program;
- 7. Supplemental Assistance for Facilities to Assist the Homeless (SAFAH) Program;
- 8. Public Housing Resident Management Program; and
- 9. Public and Indian Housing Drug Elimination Program.

The idea of State-wide coordinated efforts across all programs serving children, youth, and families so that they do not face a fragmented system of service delivery is a good one. In fact, this effort is consistent with the Department's efforts to streamline planning, application, and reporting requirements.

HUD is currently working in partnership with States and localities to reduce unnecessary paperwork, simplify Federal requirements, and reduce administrative burdens by consolidated and simplifying planning, application, and reporting requirements for HOME, CDBG, Emergency Shelter Grants (ESG) and Housing for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA). This will be achieved through coordinating program year schedules and by combining the various application, planning, and reporting requirements for each of the programs.

TEL NO: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT WASHINGTON, D.C. 20410-6000

#404 P01

	· •••• ••
	S
•	· · · •
i .	
-i *	
<u> </u>	
×.	<u> </u>
· ·	·

OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY FOR POLICY DEVELOPMENT AND RESEARCH

YAX TRANSMITTAL COVER

TO: Carol Rasco, Assistant to the President.

OFFICE:

PAX: 456-2787

TEL::

DATE: 11/5/93 1993 PAGES FOLLOWING:

PROM: MEUreon A. Kennedy

Office of Policy Development and Research HUD Building, Room 8118 OFFICE:


TEL.: 708-3846 (202) 708-5536 FAI:

Alternative PAX numbers are (202) 619-8000 or (202) 708-4481.

MESSAGE:

FOUNDATION FOR CHILD DEVELOPMENT

345 East 46th Street New York, NY 10017-3562 (212) 697-3150 (212) 697-2255 (FAX)



11

Meeting on Service Initiatives for Families and Children

The White House Old Executive Office Building -- Room 472 Washington, D.C. November 9, 1993 1:30 p.m. - 4:00 p.m.

AGENDA

Opening Remarks

Introduction of Foundation Presentations

Overview of Shared Interests

Experience with State and Local Initiatives

Cross-cutting Issues

Income Security

Community Development

Administrative and Fiscal Implications

NGA Perspective

Discussion of Options for Collaborative Action in Planning, Developing and Testing New Strategies Carol Rasco, Assistant to the President for Domestic Policy

Barbara B. Blum, Foundation for Child Development

Jon Blyth, Mott Foundation

Kathleen Feely, Annie E. Casey Foundation

Sally Leiderman, Pew Charitable Trusts

Ted Lobman, Stuart Foundations

Marvin Cohen, Chicago Community Trust

Rush Russell, Robert Wood Johnson Foundation

Anderson Spickard - "Fighting Back" -- A Robert Wood Johnson Project

Julia Lopez, Rockefeller Foundation

Anne Kubisch, The Ford Foundation

Barbara Dyer, National Academy on Public Administration

Margaret Siegel National Governors' Association

DOM. POL

8 202 454 1028

97:TT 66/80/TT

Meeting on Service Initiatives for Families and Children

INVITED PARTICIPANTS -- FOUNDATIONS

Barbara B. Blum President Foundation for Child Development 345 East 46th Street, Rm. 700 New York, NY 10017-3562 (212) 697-3150

Jon Blyth Program Officer The Charles Stewart Mott Foundation 1200 Mott Foundation Building Flint, MI 48502-1851 (313) 766-1730

Marvin R. Cohen, Director Children, Youth & Families Initiative Chicago Community Trust 222 North LaSalle, Suite 1400 Chicago, IL 60601-1009 (312) 372-3356

Kathleen Feely Associate Director Annie E. Casey Foundation One Lafayette Place Greenwich, CT 06830 (203) 661-2773

Anne C. Kubisch Deputy Director Urban Poverty Program The Ford Foundation 320 East 43rd Street New York, NY 10017 (212) 573-5263

Sally Leiderman, Project Director for Children's Initiative The Pew Charitable Trusts and Vice President, Center for Assessment & Policy Development 111 Presidential Blvd., Sujte 234 Bala Cynwyd, PA 19004 Theodore Lobman President Stuart Foundations 188 The Embarcadero, Suite 420 San Francisco, CA 94150 (415) 495-1144

Julia Lopez Director Equal Opportunity Program The Rockefeller Foundation 1133 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036 (212) 852-8350

Rush L. Russell Program Officer The Robert Wood Johnson Foundation P.O. Box 2316 Princeton, NJ 08543-2316 (609) 243-5938

Kay Sherwood Consultant Foundation for Child Development 345 East 46th Street, Rm. 700 New York, NY 10017-3562 (212) 697-3150

Anderson Spickard National Project Director Fighting Back Vanderbilt Clinic, Room 2553 1301 22nd Avenue South Nashville, TN 37232-5305 (615) 322-2543

(215) 664-4540

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. fax	To Suzan Johnson Cook from Margaret A. Siegel re: visitors (partial) (2 pages)	11/08/93	P6/b(6)

This marker identifies the original location of the withdrawn item listed above. For a complete list of items withdrawn from this folder, see the Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet at the front of the folder.

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records Domestic Policy Council Carol Rasco (Meetings, Trips, Events) OA/Box Number: 4593

FOLDER TITLE:

Children & Families Core Group 11-9-93 1:30-4; 11-12-93 2:00-4

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

RESTRICTION CODES

rw156

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advise between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]
 - C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.
- PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).
- RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

Facsimile Cover Sheet To: Suzan Johnson Cook Company: Domestic Policy Council staff Phone: 456-8402 Fax: 456-7028 From: Margaret A. Siegel Company: National Governors' Association Phone: 202/624-5340 Fax: 202/624-5313 Date: 11/02/93

Pages Including this cover page: 1

Comments:

Suzan, thanks for the fax of the letter to Barbara Blum. Linds McCart and I will be coming to the meeting next Tuesday. Here's our relevant info:


Linds McCart, Program Director, Social Services, NGA's Center for Policy Research, DOB P6/b(6) social security #

Margaret Slegel, Director, Human Resources Group, NGA, DOB P6/b(6) social security #

P6/b(6) Van Lare, Deputy Exce Dro Jarm

Navy

ID:212-697-2258



FCD

meeting file

11:55

THE WHITE HOUSE

FAX COVER SHEET

TO:

Phone:

FAX:

(202)	456-2216
<u>()×</u>	2878

FROM: <u>Argn Johnson Courc</u> Phone: (202) 456-<u>6402</u>/7777 Copy of letter to Barbara Blein, De: 11/9 mtg Please call if there roncens.

Pages following cover sheet = ____

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON, D.C.

November 2, 1993

Ms. Barbara Blum, President Foundation for Child Development New York, N.Y.

Dear Barbara:

It was a pleasure to speak with you earlier today. This letter serves as confirmation of our meeting on Tuesday, November 9,1993, from 1:30-4 P.M. at the White House, with Carol Rasco, the Assistant to the President for Domestic Policy, other Core Group participants on Families and Children's Initiatives, within the Administration, and other Foundation representatives whom you are coordinating.

We look forward to your presentaion and sharing of information. Both Barbara Dyer and Margaret Siegel have informed me that you have made contact with them as well.

The meeting will be held in the Old Executive Office Building, Room 472. We will need names, social security numbers and dates of birth for each of those who will be in the meeting.

Thank you for your help and attention.

Sincerely

Suzan D. Johnson Cook, White House Fellow for Domestic Policy.

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

November 2, 1993

MEMORANDUM FOR CORE GROUP FOR CONSOLIDATED STATE PLAN

FROM:

SUZAN JOHNSON COOK

SUBJECT: Next Meeting

As we discussed, we will be meeting with several foundations who are also doing collaborative efforts for families and children, as part of the Reinventing Government, Community Empowerment Initiative.

Please be present for a meeting with Carol Rasco, Assistant to the President for Domestic Policy, on Tuesday, November 9, 1993, from 1:30 until 4:00 p.m., in Room 472 of the OEOB. The coordinator of the Foundations group is Barbara Bloom, Foundation for Child Development, New York City. This time together will help us as we have our next meeting with the States' Representatives from Indiana and West Virginia, which will be held on November 12, 1993, from 2:00 to 4:00 p.m., in Carol's office.

Please also remember to submit your preliminary questions for the States' representatives by this Thursday, November 4, to Roz Miller (fax no. 456-2878).

I look forward to seeing you.

cc: Carol Rasco Assistant to the President for Domestic Policy